

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/784,720	ABRAHAM-FUCHS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie Moran	1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie Moran.

(2) Steve Noll.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior art, 112, 2nd

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Noll that the after-final amendment would be entered. As support for the amendment can be found in the overall disclosure of pages 8-10, the new limitations are not considered new matter. However, the examiner also said that the series of amendments recently entered resulted in many 112, 2nd issues. As it is her opinion that the issues are too extensive to be addressed in an examiner's amendment, prosecution will be reopened. The examiner stated that a nonfinal office action would be mailed. .